Inert Higgs dark matter in the G2HDM

Yue-Lin Sming Tsai (Academia Sinica)

5th International Workshop on Dark Matter,

Dark Energy and Matter-Antímatter Asymmetry

This work is in collaboration with C. Chen, Y. Lin, C. Nugroho, R. Ramos and Tzu-Chiang Yuan References: 1512.00229 (JHEP), 1512.07268 (NPB), 1708.02355 (EPJC), 1806.05632 (PRD), 1901.XXXXX, 1902.XXXXX



Motivations

DM in the G2HDM

DM Phenomenology

- Relic density and Direct detection
- LHC search
- Indirect detection

Conclusions

Three unknown questions and discrete symmetry

- 1. After the Standard Model (SM) Higgs discovery, does there exist other scalar particles?
- 2. What is dark matter (DM)? (Discrete Z2 symmetry is needed.)
- 3. Where does the tiny neutrino mass come from? (Discrete Z2 symmetry is needed.)

Motivation (I): DM in 2HDM --- inert doublet Higgs model

2HDM is a good model because its simple extension of SM scalar sector, yet with rich phenomenology, freely to adopt various Yukawa types: Type 1,2,X,Y, and Inert Higgs.

ONLY Inert doublet Higgs contains DM candidate.

An unexplained Z2 discrete symmetry is needed to do so.

Motivation (II): left-right alignment

- 1. A wonder of the standard model (SM) is why the left and right representation is not symmetric. Understanding the origin of parity.
- 2. No Right handed neutrino in SM. It is automatically introduced by SU(2)H.

A new continuous gauge symmetry SU(2)H to align 2HDM as new doublet is used to replace the artificial discrete Z2 symmetry. (Now left and right representation is a complete symmetric.)

Dark Matter in G2HDM

G2HDM:

Gauged Two Higgs doublet Model

Matter Fields	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$SU(2)_H$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_X$
$Q_L = \left(u_L \ d_L ight)^T$	3	2	1	1/6	0
$U_R = \begin{pmatrix} u_R & u_R^H \end{pmatrix}^T$	3	1	2	2/3	1
$D_R = \begin{pmatrix} d_R^H & d_R \end{pmatrix}^T$	3	1	2	-1/3	-1
$L_L = (\nu_L \ e_L)^T$	1	2	1	-1/2	0
$N_R = \left(\nu_R \ \nu_R^H\right)^T$	1	1	2	0	1
$E_R = \begin{pmatrix} e_R^H & e_R \end{pmatrix}^T$	1	1	2	-1	-1
χ_u	3	1	1	2/3	0
Xd	3	1	1	-1/3	0
$\chi_{ u}$	1	1	1	0	0
χe	1	1	1	-1	0
$H = (H_1 \ H_2)^T$	1	2	2	1/2	1
$\Delta_H = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_3/2 & \Delta_p/\sqrt{2} \\ \Delta_m/\sqrt{2} & -\Delta_3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	1	1	3	0	0
$\Phi_{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 & \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}^T$	1	1	2	0	1



TABLE I. Matter field contents and their quantum number assignments in G2HDM.



Horizontal Symmetry:

Adding a new right handed fermion in order to form a doublet. (mimic SM format.)

Embedding two Higgs doublets to be a new doublet, similar to left handed particles.

Like SM right handed fermions, introducing two SM singlet Higgs but forming as a SU(2)H doublet.

Unlike Left-Right (LR) symmetric models, complex gauge fields are electrically neutral.

TABLE I. Matter field contents and their quantum number assignments in G2HDM.

Comparison with LR symmetric models

Anomaly cancelation: We have to introduce x_u, x_d, x_nu and x_e to cancel those of righthanded ones from uH_R, dH_R, nuH_R, and eH_R respectively.

Matter Fields	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$SU(2)_H$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_X$
$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u_L & d_L \end{pmatrix}^T$	3	2	1	1/6	0
$U_R = egin{pmatrix} u_R & u_R^H \end{pmatrix}^T$	3	1	2	2/3	1
$D_R = \begin{pmatrix} d_R^H & d_R \end{pmatrix}^T$	3	1	2	-1/3	-1
$L_L = (\nu_L \ e_L)^T$	1	2	1	-1/2	0
$N_R = \left(\nu_R \ \nu_R^H\right)^T$	1	1	2	0	1
$E_R = \begin{pmatrix} e_R^H & e_R \end{pmatrix}^T$	1	1	2	-1	-1
Xu	3	1	1	2/3	0
Xd	3	1	1	-1/3	0
$\chi_{ u}$	1	1	1	0	0
Xe	1	1	1	-1	0
$H = (H_1 \ H_2)^T$	1	2	2	1/2	1
$\Delta_H = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_3/2 & \Delta_p/\sqrt{2} \\ \Delta_m/\sqrt{2} & -\Delta_3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	1	1	3	0	0
$\Phi_H = \left(\Phi_1 \ \ \Phi_2 ight)^T$	1	1	2	0	1

TABLE I. Matter field contents and their quantum number assignments in G2HDM.

TABLE I. Matter field contents and their quantum number assignments in G2HDM.

Inert Higgs Dark Matter Candidate

 $G = \{G_H^p, H_2^{0*}, \Delta_p\}$, one can write down the inert Higgs block

$$\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\prime 2} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\Phi\Delta}v_{\Delta} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{H\Phi}^{\prime}v^{2} & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{H\Phi}^{\prime}vv_{\Phi} & -\frac{1}{2}M_{\Phi\Delta}v_{\Phi} \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{H\Phi}^{\prime}vv_{\Phi} & M_{H\Delta}v_{\Delta} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{H\Phi}^{\prime}v_{\Phi}^{2} & \frac{1}{2}M_{H\Delta}v \\ -\frac{1}{2}M_{\Phi\Delta}v_{\Phi} & \frac{1}{2}M_{H\Delta}v & \frac{1}{4v_{\Delta}}\left(M_{H\Delta}v^{2} + M_{\Phi\Delta}v_{\Phi}^{2}\right) \end{pmatrix} .$$
(1)

The exact dark matter component is given by

$$D = \mathcal{O}_{12}^D G_H^p + \mathcal{O}_{22}^D H_2^{0*} + \mathcal{O}_{32}^D \Delta_p.$$
(2)

Since G_H^p is massless Goldstone boson, the WIMP DM here will only be either doublet or triplet.

DM Phenomenology

! As simplicity, we set all the new fermion masses to 10 TeV.

Relic density and Direct detection: Doublet-like

Relic density and Direct detection: Doublet-like

Relic density and Direct detection: Triplet-like

Relic density and Direct detection: Triplet-like

LHC search: mono-jet and Higgs invisible decay

- Triplet DM does not couple to Zi strongly.
- If the Hi exchange dominates, the constraint power is much weaker than DM DD.
- The mono-jet predicted event number is two order magnitudes lower than LHC current data.
- Higgs invisible decay constraint is stronger than mono-jet when DM mass less than Higgs mass. Again, we found it is weaker than DM DD.

DM indirect detection

Summary and outlook

- First comprehensive DM search in G2HDM is performed.
- Inert Higgs DM with decoupled new fermions is studied.
- · Doublet-like DM is completely excluded.
- Triplet-like DM is testable but the DM mass shall be greater than 50 GeV.
- Mono-jet is not so sensitive in this model.
- In the future, we will study other candidates such as W' DM.

Symmetry Breaking

$$H_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{+} \\ \frac{v+h}{\sqrt{2}} + iG^{0} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \Phi_{H} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{H}^{p} \\ \frac{v_{\Phi} + \phi_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} + iG_{H}^{0} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \Delta_{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-v_{\Delta} + \delta_{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Delta_{p} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Delta_{m} & \frac{v_{\Delta} - \delta_{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$H_{2} = (H_{2}^{+} H_{2}^{0})^{T} , \qquad \Psi_{G} \equiv \{G^{+}, G^{0}, G_{H}^{p}, G_{H}^{0}\} \text{ are Goldstone bosons}$$
$$\Psi \equiv \{h, H_{2}, \Phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \delta_{3}, \Delta_{p}\} \text{ are the physical fields}$$

We have 6 Goldstone bosons, absorbed by 3 SM gauge bosons and 3 SU(2)_H ones, yielding the massless photon and dark photon

• We have the mixing between $\{h, \delta_3, \phi_2\}$ and $\{G_H^p, \Delta_p, H_2^{0*}\}$ due to:

$$V_{\text{mix}}(h, \delta_{3}, \phi_{2}) \supset + M_{H\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2}H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{1}\Delta_{3}\right) + \lambda_{H\Delta}\left(H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{3}^{2}\right) \qquad V_{\text{mix}}\left(G_{H}^{p}, \Delta_{p}, H_{2}^{0*}\right) \supset + M_{H\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{2}\Delta_{p} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H_{2}^{\dagger}H_{1}\Delta_{m}\right) \\ + \lambda_{H\Phi}\left(H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{1}\right)\left(\Phi_{2}^{*}\Phi_{2}\right) + \lambda_{\Phi\Delta}\left(\Phi_{2}^{*}\Phi_{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{3}^{2}\right) \qquad - M_{\Phi\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Phi_{1}^{*}\Phi_{2}\Delta_{p} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Phi_{2}^{*}\Phi_{1}\Delta_{m}\right) \\ - M_{\Phi\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{2}^{*}\Phi_{2}\Delta_{3}\right) \qquad - M_{\Phi\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{2}^{*}\Phi_{2}\Delta_{3}\right)$$